

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/989,962	11/21/2001	Hidefumi Nakata	P/1071-1504	1666	
7590 08/25/2004		EXAMINER			
Keating & Ber 10400 Eaton Pla		DIAZ, JOSE R			
Suite 312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fairfax, VA 2	2030		2815		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/989,962	09/989,962 NAKATA, HID		EFUMI			
Office Action	Examiner		Art Unit				
		José R. Día	Z	2815			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS		
<ul> <li>after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified at</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended</li> </ul>	HIS COMMUNICATION.  e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 iling date of this communication.  ye is less than thirty (30) days, a reply bove, the maximum statutory period was anded period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event y within the statuto will apply and will e , cause the applica	however, may a reply be time ry minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ınication.		
Status							
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u>	<u>une 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance	e with the practice under E	Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				·			
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/ard 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5,11 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/ard	m(s) is/are withdrave e allowed. <u>13-15</u> is/are rejected. e objected to.	wn from cons	sideration.				
8) Claim(s) are s	subject to restriction and/o	or election red	juirement.				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is o	•		]				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	sheet(s) including the correct				121(d)		
11) The oath or declaration	, ,						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9						
2. Certified copie  3. Copies of the application from		ts have been ts have been writy documen u (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge		
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PT</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent</li> </ol>		4	I) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•			
3) Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date				ratent Application (PTO-15	2)		

Application/Control Number: 09/989,962 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemnios et al. (US Pat. No. 5,162,258) in view of Azuma et al. (US Pat. No. 6,447,838 B1).

Regarding claims 1, 14 and 11, Lemnios et al. teaches an MIM capacitor comprising:

a lower electrode (85) comprising a first titanium layer, a platinum layer, a gold layer, and a second titanium layer (see Fig. 7 and col. 6, line 47)<sup>1</sup>;

an upper electrode (101) (see fig. 7); and

a dielectric layer (92) positioned between said lower electrode and said upper electrode (see fig. 7),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is noted that Lemnios et al. uses the term "alloy" to describe the Ti/Pt/Au/Ti layer (see col. 6, line 47). However, the term "alloy", contrary to its ordinary meaning, is used to describe a stack of metal layers. Please note that Ti/Pt/Au/Ti includes two separated titanium layers, one adjacent to the platinum and the other adjacent to the gold. In addition, see column 6, line 5 in which Lemnios et al. discloses a multimetal layer, which includes a layer made of AuGe alloy adjacent to a nickel layer.

Application/Control Number: 09/989,962

Art Unit: 2815

However, Lemnios et al. fails to teach an insulating metal oxide layer disposed over the lower electrode. Azuma et al. teaches that it is well known in the art to include titanium oxide between a dielectric and the capacitor electrode (col. 1, lines 36-41).

Lemnios et al. and Azuma et al. are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor as applicant's invention. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a titanium oxide layer disposed on the entire surface of the second titanium layer. The motivation for doing so, as is taught by Azuma et al., is protecting the capacitor electrode from the diffusion of unwanted impurities (col. 1, lines 31-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Azuma et al. with Lemnios et al. to obtain the invention of claims 1-5, 11 and 13-15.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Lemnios et al. teaches that titanium (Ti) is one of the top metal layers of the electrode (85) (col. 6, line 47).

Regarding claim 4, Lemnios et al. teaches that the dielectric layer comprises silicon nitride (see col. 7, lines 20-22).

Regarding claim 5, Lemnios et al. teaches that the dielectric layer comprises silicon oxynitride (see col. 7, lines 20-22).

Regarding claims 13 and 15, Lemnios et al. teaches the upper electrode formed of a titanium layer, a platinum layer, and a gold layer (see col. 7, line 49).

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 11 and 13-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hintermaier et al. (US Pat. No. 6,605,505 B2) discloses that titanium oxide is conventionally used as an adhesion promoter layer (col. 7, lines 48-53).

# Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José R. Díaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1727. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/989,962

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

JRD 8/10/04

GEORGE ECKERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5